## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,991	06/22/2006	Mitsuyoshi Kuwahata	062705	7383
38834 7590 06/20/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			TESKIN, FRED M	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	,		1713	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/583,991	KUWAHATA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit .
		Fred M. Teskin	1713
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vero reply within the set or extended period for reply within t	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u>□</u> 3) <u>□</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	e action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		•
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		•
Applicati	on Papers		
10) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	· .		
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 20060622; 20061005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/583,991

Art Unit: 1713

The preliminary amendment of June 22, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1-6 are currently pending and under examination herein.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "soft" in claims 1-6 is a relative term that renders the claims indefinite.

The term is not defined in the claims and the specification is not seen to provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree (of softness). The limiting significance of the term is therefore unclear.

Regarding claims 1 and 5, it is unclear how the term "type" is intended to affect the usual meaning of "vinyl chloride ... monomer"; i.e., what chemical and/or structural variants of vinyl chloride would qualify as a "monomer" in the context of the claimed invention. The addition of the word "type" to an otherwise definite expression like "vinyl chloride monomer" extends the scope of the expression so as to render it indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,991

Art Unit: 1713

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United . States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 03-016003 (JP '003)(all references thereto being to the corresponding English language translation furnished herewith).

The subject matter of the present invention is a soft vinyl chloride copolymer resin obtained by copolymerizing (A) a vinyl chloride type monomer and (B) a macromonomer having a polymer comprising an ethylenically unsaturated monomer containing a double bond in a main chain, wherein the ratio of (A)/(B) by weight is 50/50 to 80/20.

JP '003 discloses vinyl chloride series resin having improved flowability and obtained by copolymerizing vinyl chloride and a polystyrene macromonomer. As apparent from Eq. (1) (translation, fourth page), the polystyrene macromonomer comprises ethylenically unsaturated monomer (styrene residues) and contains a main chain double bond, residing in a methacrylate endgroup.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,991

**Art Unit: 1713** 

JP '003 differs from the present claims only in that in its specific embodiments, the vinyl chloride monomer and the macromonomer are copolymerized in proportions corresponding to (A)/(B) ratios outside the claimed range; e.g., 2,500 wt. parts of vinyl chloride monomer and 441 wt. parts of polystyrene macromonomer in Working Example 3, for a ratio of 5.67:1 (A)/(B). However, JP '003 broadly teaches mixing the vinyl chloride monomer and the polystyrene macromonomer in proportions expressed as weight percent ranges that substantially overlap the applicants' claimed weight ratio range, viz.,  $50 \sim 99.5$  wt. % and  $50 \sim 0.5$  wt. %, respectively (translation, sixth page); and it is there stated that when the vinyl chloride monomer falls below 50 wt. %, characteristics which are superior for the vinyl chloride resins cannot be obtained.

As such, those of ordinary skill would have been led to use weight ratios within the presently claimed range when undertaking the copolymerization of JP '003, and reasonably expect to obtain vinyl chloride resin of comparably superior characteristics. Indeed, selecting a narrow range from within a somewhat broader range disclosed in a prior art reference is no less obvious than identifying a range that simply overlaps a disclosed range. In fact, where (as here) the claimed ranges are completely encompassed by the prior art, the conclusion is even more compelling than in cases of mere overlap. The normal desire of an artisan to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages. See, In re Peterson, 65 USPQ2d 1379, 1382 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 02-263810 (JP '810)(all references thereto being to the corresponding English language translation furnished herewith).

Vinyl chloride copolymer obtained by copolymerizing vinyl chloride monomer and a styrene macromonomer end-terminated with a methacrylate group is disclosed by JP '810; see page 15, bridging paragraph and pages 19-20, Table 1. In the Result section of Table 1, Example 5 describes a copolymer composition including the vinyl chloride monomer unit and the styrene macromonomer unit in proportions (wt. %) of 60 and 30, respectively. Since a 60/30 weight ratio represents a specific value within the range recited in claim 1 for the corresponding monomer and macromonomer, and since Example 5 otherwise meets the limitations of claims 1, 2 and 6, JP '810 is seen as anticipative of that claimed subject matter. The description in a reference of a single embodiment of broadly claimed subject matter constitutes a description of the invention for anticipation purposes. *In re Luckach*, 169 USPQ 795 (CCPA 1971).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Skillicorn et al is cited as pertinent to vinyl chloride/polyacrylate copolymer dispersant produced by copolymerization of a terminal ethylenic group-containing polyalkylacrylate ester and vinyl chloride monomer (note col. 8, II. 20+).

Claim 3 would be allowable on the present record, if amended or rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action and to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM, and can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FMTeskin/06-15-07

FRED TESKIN

PRIMARY EX